

**JPL Director's Research and Development Fund, FY 2009  
New-Proposal Evaluation Report [Consensus]**

*Proposal number:*

*Title:*

*Authors:*

Part I: Strategic Importance: (equal in importance to the Technical Rating)

1. Will a successful outcome of the proposed collaborative work have a significant impact on JPL's technical capabilities for conducting future programs and projects? Please explain
2. What do the external partners bring to JPL in terms of facilities and/or capabilities?
3. Are the expected contributions of the external and internal team members substantive, balanced, and well integrated? Explain.
4. What missions might benefit from the successful outcome of this work?
5. What is the likelihood that follow-on funding will result from this work?

<b>Strategic Rating:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>(check one)</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Not</b>	<b>Important</b>	<b>Enabling</b>
	<b>Impact</b>	<b>Important</b>		

Part II: Technical Evaluation: (equal in importance to the Strategic Rating)

1. What are the innovative features of this proposal? Are they important?
2. Does the proposal contain significant flaws, omissions or errors?  
Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ If "yes", please give details:
3. Are the proposers technically well qualified to undertake this project?  
Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_ If there are critical skills lacking in the team, please elaborate:
4. Does the plan of work give adequate detail on steps, responsibilities, and schedule?

<b>Technical Rating:</b> <b>(check one)</b>	<b>0</b> <b>Flawed</b>	<b>1</b> <b>Work done</b> <b>Elsewhere</b>	<b>2</b> <b>Important</b> <b>Advance</b>	<b>3</b> <b>Major breakthrough</b>
--	---------------------------	--	--	---------------------------------------

<b><u>OVERALL RATING:</u></b> <b>(check one)</b>	<b>0</b> <b>Do not</b> <b>Consider</b>	<b>1</b> <b>Below</b> <b>Average</b>	<b>2</b> <b>Above</b> <b>Average</b>	<b>3</b> <b>Superior</b>
---	--	--	--	-----------------------------

Comments for author: